Dear Jim, McDonald's book 12/1/75

Here again there are legal possibilities I'm not taking time to go into. Charge of mail fraud one of the most obvious.

I've finished it.

Changes were made in accord with the few hints I dropped in New York and the questions Nat. Enq. asked after my work for them. I have them noted. Examples of haste in this while not in getting book printed and out are the elimination with no more than three dots of the alleged sniper's nest in second-floor Records bldg ladies' room in text but not in sketch.

Additions suggestive of consultatuons with one we both know. They are attributed to the Report but are in enither it nor the 26 but in what friend may have read in some of my work not printed as of time of McD's printing.

Interesting error in "publisher" also indicative of last-minute shifts, arrangements. On facing pages both Zebra and McDonald pub. corp. both listed as publishers of the one book.

Do you think it would be worthwhile with what is going on to ask for federal prosecution on mail fraud. (I think t is book is also intended to promote some contracted supposedly non-fiction novels by the same team. I know there was such a deal separate from this book.)

I think no more is required than a letter of complaint from me to the U.S.Attorney in DC, Balt., NYC or LA.

There is an open question, aside from the obvuous fraud, of whether or not McD had a principal. If it is federal, not impossible, and this is a black book, the mere complaint in a letter would be embarrassing.

Remember, I have three versions of the one confession in three different places plus added proof of fraud. McD hasn't even read Report.

There is also the question did he commit a crime in representing himself as CIA if he was not or the converse, if he was, embarrassment to CIA over this.

Initially it will require little work of me.

What do you think?

Best,